

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
HOUSTON DIVISION**

----- X

In re: Chapter 11

COMPUTE NORTH HOLDINGS, INC., et al. Case No. 22-90273 (MI)

Debtors. (Jointly Administered)

Docket Entry No. 209

**OBJECTION OF DIGITAL ALCHEMY, LLC TO ADEQUATE ASSURANCE OF  
FUTURE PERFORMANCE**

Digital Alchemy LLC (“**Digital Alchemy**”), hereby submit this Objection (the “**Objection**”) to adequate assurance of future performance that certain Master Agreement (defined below) proposed to be assumed in accordance with the assumption and assignment procedures approved under this Court’s Order (A) Approving De Minimis Asset Sale Procedures; (B) Approving Certain Bidding Procedures, Assumption, Assignment and Rejection Procedures, and the Form and Manner of Notice Thereof; (C) Authorizing the Debtors to Enter into Asset Purchase Agreements with Stalking Horse Bidders; and (D) Scheduling a Hearing on the Approval of the Sale of the Debtors’ Remaining Assets Free and Clear of All Encumbrances as well as the Assumption and Assignment of Certain Executory Contract and Unexpired Leases (the “**Final Bid Procedures Order**,” Docket Entry No. 256). In support of this Limited Objection, Digital Alchemy respectfully states as follows:

**PRELIMINARY STATEMENT**

1. Digital Alchemy is a counterparty to a Master Agreement with one of the jointly administered Debtors, Compute North LLC (“**Compute North**”). Compute North was in default under the Master Agreement as of the Petition Date. The Debtors propose to assume the Master

Agreement. Digital Alchemy objects to assumption of their agreement because (a) the Debtors cannot show their ability to perform their obligations under the Master Agreement because of their prepetition defaults under the Master Agreement; (b) to the extent the Debtors are assuming and assigning the Master Agreement to another entity, the Debtors should show that entity's ability to perform; and (c) Digital Alchemy reserve the right to dispute that the Master Agreement is an executory contracts capable of assumption and assignment.

## **BACKGROUND**

### **A. The Bankruptcy Case**

2. On September 22, 2022 (the “**Petition Date**”), Compute North Holdings, Inc. and eighteen affiliates, including Compute North (together, the “**Debtors**”), filed chapter 11 petitions with this Court. Since the Petition Date, the Debtors have continued to operate and manage their business as a debtors and debtors-in-possession pursuant to sections 1107 and 1108 of the Bankruptcy Code.

3. On October 13, 2022, the Court entered the Order (A) Approving de Minimis Asset Sale Procedures; (B) Approving Rejection Procedures and Certain Assumption and Assignment Procedures and the Form and Manner of Notice Thereof; (C) Authorizing the Debtors to Enter into Asset Purchase Agreements with Stalking Horse Bidders; and (D) Scheduling a Further Hearing on the Approval of the Debtors’ Proposed Bidding Procedures and Related Relief (the “**Initial Bid Procedures Order**,” Docket No. 191).

4. On October 18, 2022, the Debtors filed the *Notice of Filing of Cure Schedule in Connection with Proposed Sale* dated October 18, 2022 (the “**First Cure Notice**,” Docket Entry No. 209). The First Cure Notice does not list Digital Alchemy.

5. On October 28, 2022, the Debtors filed the Notice of Filing of Supplemental Cure Schedule in Connection with Proposed Sale (the “**Supplemental Cure Notice**,” Docket Entry No. 334). The Supplemental Cure Notice does not list Digital Alchemy.

6. On November 1, 2022, the Debtors filed the Notice of Filing of Cure Schedule in Connection with Proposed Sale (the “**Amended Cure Notice**,” Docket Entry No. 357). The Amended Cure Notice does not list Digital Alchemy.

7. Digital Alchemy received the Served Cure Notice on October 25, 2022, which reflects a cure amount in the amount of \$0.00 owing to Digital Alchemy under the Master Agreement.

8. On October 24, 2022, the Court entered the Bid Procedures. The Final Bid Procedures Order provides a November 7, 2022 deadline to object to adequate assurance of future performance.

9. On October 31, 2022, Digital Alchemy received three copies of the Notice of Entry of Bidding Procedures Order and Proposed Sale addressed to Digital Alchemy, LLC; “Digital Alchemy”; and Digital Mine Corp.<sup>1</sup>

10. On November 1, 2022, the Court entered the Order (I) Approving the Sale of Debtor CN Pledgor LLC’s Equity Interest in CN Borrower, LLC Free and Clear of All Liens Claims and Encumbrances, (II) Authorizing the Assumption and Assignment of Certain Executory Contracts in Connection Therewith, and (III) Granting Related Relief (the “**CN Pledgor Sale Order**,” Docket Entry No. 362) approving the sale of CN Pledgor LLC’s Equity Interest in CN Borrower, LLC to GC Data Center Equity Holdings, LLC (the “CN Pledgor LLC Sale”). Upon information and belief some of the miner held by the Debtors are located in facilities that belonged to CN

---

<sup>1</sup> Digital Alchemy has no relation to Digital Mine Corp.

Borrower, LLC and, therefore, are now located in facilities controlled by GC Data Center Equity Holdings, LLC.

**B. The Master Agreement**

11. Digital Alchemy is the counterparty to a Master Agreement dated July 26, 2022, (the “**Master Agreement**”) with Debtor Compute North, under which Compute North agreed to provide colocation and other managed services for Digital Alchemy’s cryptocurrency mining hardware.

12. Digital Alchemy paid a \$141,622.20 deposit (the “**Deposit**”) relating to the Master Agreement, which represents the last two months of monthly service and package fees.

13. In connection with entry into the Master Agreement, Digital Alchemy delivered to Compute North certain Bitmain cryptocurrency Antminers, as particularly detailed in Digital Alchemy’s Order Form (the “**Equipment**”), which Compute North was obligated to deploy under the Master Agreement.

14. Digital Alchemy does not appear on Compute North’s Schedules.

15. Digital Alchemy’s Order Form under the Master Agreement provides a payment term of 60 months (the “**Equipment Term**”).

16. Compute North has never deployed Digital Alchemy’s Equipment pursuant to the Master Agreement, even though the Debtors remain in possession of Digital Alchemy’s Deposit and Equipment.

17. Section 4.1 of each Master Agreement describes the Effective Date and Term of the Master Agreement as follows:

4.1. Term of Agreement. This Agreement shall be effective as of the date on which it has been executed by Compute North and Customer (the “Effective Date”) and, unless otherwise terminated, shall continue until all Equipment Terms for all Equipment under each Order Form have expired or terminated.

18. Section 4.2 of each Master Agreement outlines how and when the Equipment Terms commences and ends as follows:

4.2. Equipment Term. The Equipment Term set forth on an Order Form for each piece of Equipment shall commence as of the date Compute North notifies Customer in writing that the Mining Equipment has been received and turned on by Compute North. Unless an Order Form provides otherwise, the Equipment Term shall renew for successive one (1) month periods unless one party notifies the other in writing at least thirty (30) days prior to the conclusion of the then-current Equipment Term.

19. Compute North assured Digital Alchemy prepetition that the Equipment would be deployed and operating by September 15, 2022.

20. Digital Alchemy has received no notification from the Debtors in accordance with Section 4.2 of the Master Agreement that the Equipment has been received and turned on by Compute North, however. Accordingly, the Equipment Term under each of the Master Agreement has not commenced.

21. Further, even though the Debtors remain in possession of the Equipment, they have not deployed the Equipment to mine Bitcoin pursuant to the Master Agreement.

22. Digital Alchemy's estimated lost revenue due to Compute North's failure to energize Digital Alchemy's hosted miners in a commercially reasonable manner on the quoted energization date of September 15, 2022, less applicable Service Fees and Package Fees (each as defined under the Master Agreement is \$57,989.42.

23. Section 5.2 of the Master Agreement provides that Digital Alchemy "shall pay to Compute North the Monthly Service Fees and the Monthly Package Fees (collectively the 'Fees') as set forth on the Order Form." The Order Form provides that the Fees "will be invoiced monthly beginning on the date of Installation and are due upon receipt of invoices submitted by Compute North."

24. Section 8.3 of the Master Agreement provides that “provided that Customer has paid all amount due and owing under [the Master Agreement] . . . [the Debtor Counterparty] shall decommission and make the [Equipment] available to Customer for pick up at, or shipment from, the Facility within thirty (30) of Customer’s written request. Customer shall be responsible for all deinstallation, packing, storage, transportation, delivery, and other costs associated with removing and returning the [Equipment].”

25. Digital Alchemy previously objected to the cure proposed by the Debtors (Docket Entry No. 372) (the “**Cure Objection**”) and to the proposed sale to the extent that the Debtors propose to sell Digital Alchemy’s Equipment (Docket Entry No. 395).

26. In the Cure Objection, the Digital Alchemy also demanded the return of its Equipment.

### **OBJECTION**

A. **Compute North’s failure to perform under the Master Agreement is evidence of the Debtors’ inability to perform under the Master Agreement.**

27. Section 365(b)(1)(C) requires the Debtors to show that they can provide adequate assurance of future performance in order to assume a contract. The Debtors cannot show their ability to assume and perform under the Master Agreement. The Debtors assured Digital Alchemy that they would have miners and the Equipment deployed and operating by September 15, 2022—an outside date that was well beyond the scope of the original start date contemplated by the parties.

28. “Evidence of prior defaults, though, is probative of whether the debtor will be able to perform in the future.” *In re Texas Health Enterprises, Inc.*, 2003 WL 21770822 \*3 (5th Cir. 2003). Because the Debtors have failed to perform and continue to fail to perform under the Master Agreement, the Debtors cannot show their ability to assume the Master Agreement. To the extent

that the Debtors intend to assume and assign the Master Agreement to another entity, the Debtors should be required to show that that entity is capable of performing under the Master Agreement.

29. Digital Alchemy also objects on the ground that it has received no information regarding the identity of the proposed purchaser(s) of the Debtors' assets. To the extent that the Debtors intend to assume and assign the Master Agreement to another entity, the Debtors should be required to show that that entity is capable of performing under the Master Agreement. As a result, Digital Alchemy is unable to evaluate whether the Debtors' obligations under the Master Agreement will be performed and/or when the Equipment will be returned.

30. Digital Alchemy also objects on the grounds that they have received no information regarding the identity of the proposed purchaser(s) of the Debtors' assets. To the extent that the Debtors intend to assume and assign the Master Agreement to another entity, the Debtors should be required to show that that entity is capable of performing under the Master Agreement. As a result, Digital Alchemy is unable to evaluate whether the Debtors' obligations under the Master Agreement will be performed and/or when the Equipment will be returned to Digital Alchemy.

**B. Digital Alchemy reserves the right to object to assumption and assignment of the Master Agreement because it is not an executory contract.**

31. Digital Alchemy reserves the right to object to assumption and/or assignment of the Master Agreement because it is not an executory contract due to Compute North's prepetition repudiation of the Master Agreement by failing to deploy the Equipment as promised. *See, e.g., In re Food Management Group, LLC*, 372 B.R. 171, 190-91 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2007) (“Anticipatory repudiation by one party precludes fulfillment of the contract by both sides and, therefore, excuses performance by the counter-party”). In failing to deploy the Equipment, Compute North foreclosed Digital Alchemy' ability to perform its obligations under the Master Agreement, thus excusing Digital Alchemy of its obligation to perform under the Master

Agreement. *Id.* Digital Alchemy cannot perform on its obligations to pay the Fees under the Master Agreement because Compute North has not installed and begun to operate the Equipment. See Master Agreement Section 5.2 and Order Form. Since Digital Alchemy is excused of the obligation to perform under the Master Agreement as a result of the Debtors' failure to perform, the Master Agreement may not be an executory contract. *Cf. In re Falcon V, L.L.C.*, 44 F. 4th 348, 352 (5th Cir. 2022) (a contract is executory when there are material obligations on both sides yet to be performed as of the petition date).

32. Digital Alchemy respectfully request that the Court enter an order (i) conditioning the assumption and/or assignment of the Master Agreement on the prompt payment of cure amounts as set forth in the Cure Objection filed by Digital Alchemy; (ii) conditioning the assumption and/or assignment of the Master Agreement on the Debtors providing adequate assurance of future performance to Digital Alchemy; (iii) requiring compliance with all obligations under the Master Agreement; and (iv) granting such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Dated: November 4, 2022.

POLSINELLI PC

By: /s/ Meredyth A. Kippes  
Meredyth A. Kippes (SBN 24007882)  
2950 N. Harwood Street, Suite 2100  
Dallas, Texas 75201  
Telephone: (214) 397-0030  
Facsimile: (214) 397-0033  
[mkippes@polsinelli.com](mailto:mkippes@polsinelli.com)

*Counsel for the Digital Alchemy*

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I certify that on November 4, 2022, a true copy of the foregoing was served on those parties who consent to service by the Court's ecf notification system and by the method and on the following parties as indicated:

*/s/Meredyth A. Kippes*  
Meredyth A. Kippes

By email to the following parties:

Paul Hastings LLP  
600 Travis Street  
58th Floor  
Houston, Texas 77002  
Attn: James T. Grogan III ([jamesgrogan@paulhastings.com](mailto:jamesgrogan@paulhastings.com))

Paul Hastings LLP  
200 Park Avenue  
New York, New York 10166  
Attn: Luc Despins ([lucdespins@paulhastings.com](mailto:lucdespins@paulhastings.com))  
Sayan Bhattacharyya ([sayanbhattacharyya@paulhastings.com](mailto:sayanbhattacharyya@paulhastings.com))  
Daniel Ginsberg ([danielginsberg@paulhastings.com](mailto:danielginsberg@paulhastings.com))

Paul Hastings, LLP  
71 South Wacker Drive, Suite 4500  
Chicago, Illinois 60606  
Attn: Matthew Micheli ([mattmicheli@paulhastings.com](mailto:mattmicheli@paulhastings.com))  
Michael Jones ([michaeljones@paulhastings.com](mailto:michaeljones@paulhastings.com))

McDermott Will & Emery LLP  
2501 North Harwood Street, Suite 1900  
Dallas, Texas 75201  
Attn: Charles R. Gibbs ([crgibbs@mwe.com](mailto:crgibbs@mwe.com))

McDermott Will & Emery LLP  
One Vanderbilt Avenue  
New York, New York 10017  
Attn: Kristin K Going ([kgoing@mwe.com](mailto:kgoing@mwe.com))  
Darren Azman ([dazman@mwe.com](mailto:dazman@mwe.com))  
Stacy A. Lutkus ([salutkus@mwe.com](mailto:salutkus@mwe.com))  
Natalie Rowles ([nrowles@mwe.com](mailto:nrowles@mwe.com))

Office of the United States Trustee for the Southern District of Texas  
515 Rusk Street, Suite 3516  
Houston, Texas 77002  
Attn: Jayson B. Ruff ([Jayson.B.Ruff@usdoj.gov](mailto:Jayson.B.Ruff@usdoj.gov))  
Jana Whitworth ([Jana.Whitworth@usdoj.gov](mailto:Jana.Whitworth@usdoj.gov))